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Steering Committee Composition

The Steering Committee is comprised of a maximum of **15 members**: 12 voting members and 3 ex-officio members.

- 6 Members at Large
- Chairs of the 3 workgroups
- Representative of Sierra Health Foundation
- Representative of Sacramento County DPH
- Representative of the HSC Managing Organization
- Ex-officio members are the Vice-Chairs of each workgroup
Steering Committee Year 4
2014-2015 Membership Update

6 Members At-Large

- Connie Chan Robison, Chair
- Richard Dana, Vice Chair
- Stephanie Landrum
- Gina Warren
- Rachel Rios
- Kim Williams

3 Permanent Members

- Robert Phillips, Sierra Health Foundation
- Ramona Mosley, Health Education Council
- Yvonne Rodriguez, Sacramento County Department of Health and Human Services
Steering Committee Year 4  
2014-2015 Membership Update

3 Workgroup Chair Members

- Monica Hernandez, Chair, HEAL Workgroup
- Dominique Ritley, Chair, TFL Workgroup
- James Palmieri, Chair, Clinical and Community Prevention Workgroup

3 Ex-Officio Vice-Chair Members

- Michael Minnick, Vice-Chair, HEAL Workgroup
- Megan Sheffield, Vice-Chair, TFL Workgroup
- Marcella Gonsalves, Clinical and Community Prevention Workgroup
Contact info:

Connie Chan Robison  
Chair, Steering Committee, Healthy Sacramento Coalition  
Executive Director, Center for Collaborative Planning  
connie@connectccp.org  
(916) 498-6960
HSC Member Survey

- Responses – 26 out of 53 active member organizations (48%)

- Extent of involvement in HSC
  - Not at all = 0
  - A little = 6
  - Fairly = 8
  - Very = 10
HSC Member Survey Topics

- Benefits and problems of HSC participation
- Strategies and plans
- Coalition leadership
- Coalition management
- Communications
Top 3 Benefits of Participation - 2014

- Being part of an effort to improve health in Sacramento County
  - “Great benefit” – 65%; “Some benefit” – 31%

- Developing collaborative relationships with other organizations
  - “Great benefit” – 65%; “Some benefit” – 23%

- Staying well informed in a rapidly changing environment
  - “Great benefit” – 50%; “Some benefit” – 38%
Benefit with the Largest Change – 2013 - 2014

Getting access to target populations with whom we previously had little contact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A little</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Problems with Participating - 2014

- Not enough public recognition: 65%
- Poor use of skills and time: 54%
- Lack of meaningful action: 54%
- Only voice representing viewpoint: 77%
- Competition for resources: 81%

Categories:
- N/A
- None
- Minor
- Major
Problems with Greatest Improvement – 2013 - 2014

The coalition is competing with my organization for resources.

- **2013**
  - N/A: 12%
  - None: 64%
  - Minor: 18%
  - Major: 6%

- **2014**
  - N/A: 12%
  - None: 81%
  - Minor: 8%
  - Major: 8%

My skills and time are not well used.

- **2013**
  - N/A: 3%
  - None: 36%
  - Minor: 42%
  - Major: 18%

- **2014**
  - N/A: 4%
  - None: 54%
  - Minor: 42%
Negative Change in Problems 2013-2014

- “My organization doesn’t get enough public recognition for our work on the coalition.”
- 5% fewer say it is a “major” problem but
- 8% more say it is a “minor problem”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not applicable</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Minor</th>
<th>Major</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Perceptions of Plans and Strategies – 2013-2014

Members agree on the strategies the coalition should use:

- **2013**
  - Strongly disagree: 3%
  - Disagree: 12%
  - Agree: 58%
  - Strongly agree: 6%
  - Don't know: 21%

- **2014**
  - Strongly disagree: 23%
  - Disagree: 23%
  - Agree: 46%
  - Strongly agree: 8%
  - Don't know: 21%

The activities, roles and timelines in our plan are appropriate:

- **2013**
  - Strongly disagree: 12%
  - Disagree: 18%
  - Agree: 58%
  - Strongly agree: 12%
  - Don't know: 12%

- **2014**
  - Strongly disagree: 16%
  - Disagree: 8%
  - Agree: 69%
  - Strongly agree: 8%
  - Don't know: 8%
Most Significant in Providing Leadership

- Steering Committee: 20% (2013), 48% (2014)
- Sierra Health: 20% (2013), 26% (2014)
- Coalition Workgroups: 17% (2013), 13% (2014)
- Coalition Members: 7% (2013), 4% (2014)
- Leadership Team: 17% (2013), 4% (2014)
- Don't know: 10% (2013), 4% (2014)
In leading HSC, the Steering Committee:

- Is respected in the coalition: 80% Agree/Strongly agree, 21% Agree, 0% Other.
- Keeps the coalition focused: 79% Agree/Strongly agree, 17% Agree, 4% Other.
- Has a clear vision: 76% Agree/Strongly agree, 13% Agree, 13% Other.
- Builds consensus on key decisions: 75% Agree/Strongly agree, 17% Agree, 8% Other.
- Seeks the views of others: 75% Agree/Strongly agree, 17% Agree, 8% Other.
- Advocates strongly for its own opinions: 39% Agree/Strongly agree, 22% Agree, 39% Other.

10/22/2014
In managing HSC, Sierra Health:

- Seeks the views of others: 96% agree, 4% disagree
- Is respected in the coalition: 96% agree, 4% disagree
- Keeps the coalition focused: 91% agree, 9% disagree
- Works collaboratively: 88% agree, 8% disagree
- Builds consensus on key decisions: 83% agree, 8% disagree
- Has a clear vision: 79% agree, 17% disagree
- Creates appropriate balance of responsibility: 71% agree, 21% disagree, 8% don't know
- Controls/tries to control decisions: 35% agree, 57% disagree, 9% don't know
- Advocates strongly for its own opinions: 33% agree, 42% disagree, 25% don't know

Options: Don't know, Disagree/Strongly disagree, Agree/Strongly agree.
Overall Management -- 2013-2014

The paid staff supports the work of the coalition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The coalition is well-managed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major Changes in Communications 2013-2014

- Increased agreement with:
  - “The e-newsletter keeps me informed...”: 88% in 2013 and 96% in 2014
  - “The HSC has effective strategies for sharing its work with the community”: 37% in 2013 and 46% in 2014

- Decreased agreement with:
  - “Coalition members respect each others’ points of view even if they might disagree”: 97% agreed in 2013; 78% agreed in 2014
Overall

- Greater ownership of HSC by members via the Steering Committee
- Continued support for the benefits of participation
- Particular benefit of increased access to specific communities and populations
- Improvements in some concerns and communication activities
Overall

- Concerns related to:
  - Awareness of Steering Committee’s work
  - Recognition of organizations’ participation
  - Communication of HSC activities to the community
  - Sense that coalition members respect each other’s points of view